IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 383 OF 2006

SHRI SAKHLU BHICK GAUNKAR ALIAS SANCLU SURIA GAONKAR, QUEPEM, GOA. ... Petitioner

Versus

SHRI CHANDRAKANT MURNO GAUNKAR AND 2 ORS., ... Respondents

Miss. S. Naik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M. Amonkar, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

Ms. R. Chodankar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the respondents No.2 and 3.

Coram:- R. S. MOHITE, J.

Date:- 9th August, 2007

P.C.

Advocate for the petitioner states that after the impugned order, a Decree was passed by the Civil Court on 30/12/2006, deciding the dispute between the petitioner and the respondent No.1. By the said decree, the respondent No.1 has been declared to be a co-owner.

In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to make a fresh application in case the competent Court decides the petitioner to be the sole owner of the property on which the trees stand. On this term, the petition stands disposed off.

R. S. MOHITE, J.

ssm.